UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,286	01/11/2006	Stefan Carlsson	71409-82635	6990

26288   7590   02/10/2009
ALBIHNS STOCKHOLM AB
BOX 5581, LINNEGATAN 2
SE-114 85 STOCKHOLM; SWEDENn
STOCKHOLM,
SWEDEN

EXAMINER
WANG, KENT F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2622	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/564,286	CARLSSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KENT WANG	2622	

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address* --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 January 2006</u>.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 January 2006</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☒ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

        1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

        2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

        3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The reference listed on the disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 01/11/2006 and

    11/17/2006 have being considered by the examiner (see attached PTO 1449).

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 111(b), which papers have

    been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because labeled elements "110" in Figure 3a and "Set-up

    process completed. Start <u>registering</u> of digital video sequence" in Figure 5 have been

    mislabeled. The labeled element "110" should be changed to "310" (see [0054]) and the

    labeled element "Set-up process completed. Start <u>registering</u> of digital video sequence"

    should be changed to "Set-up process completed. Start <u>recording</u> of digital video sequence"

    (see [0062]). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in

    reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended

    replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior

    version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of

    an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be

canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

    The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided.

5. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. The table below shows just a few of the many minor errors through the specification:

Page no	Line no	Mislabeled character	Corrected character
15	9	as in Figure 4b.	as in Figure 6b.
16	12	2. Apply an image-plane	4. Apply an image-plane

Claim Objections

6. Claims 22, 26, 27, and 28 are objected to because of the following informalities: Regarding

claim 22, this claim should depend on claim 14, not claim 9 and regarding claims 26, 27 and

28, these claims should depend on claim 25, not claim 17. For the purpose of art rejection the

claim 22 will be read as depend on claim 14 and claims 26, 27, and 28 will be read as depend

on claim 25. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-3, 5, 14-16, 25-27 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated

by Aagaard (US 2003/0210329).

Regarding claim 1, Aagaard discloses a method for generating a wide image video

sequence, said method comprising the steps of:

- generating a set of calibration parameters (calibration information/values) related

to a device (multiple camera video system 1, Fig 1) having at least two video

cameras (slave cameras in unison with the master camera) which are arranged in a

predetermined relationship to each other (the location of each of the cameras with

respect to each other may be determined using known techniques), said

parameters being unique for the at least two cameras and their current location as

related to the object being recorded (the basic function of the calibration

computers 240 is to calibrate the positioning of each of the remote cameras 150

enabling the master broadcaster 215 to remotely calculate and control the slave

cameras in response to a movement in the master camera) ([0036], [0043], [0095],

[0107]);

- recording synchronously video sequences using each of said at least two video

   cameras (a genlock signal may be provided to each camera 150 so that the

   resulting stored video will be synchronized across all of the camera video feeds

   during playback) ([0078]); and

- generating a wide image video sequence from each of said synchronously

   recorded video sequences (for example, a 16:9 aspect ratio high definition camera

   with a wide angle lens could be used to capture the target image from a plurality

   of locations) ([0078] and [0172]).

Regarding claim 2, Aagaard discloses the synchronously recorded video sequences are

stored in a memory means (the multiple video feeds may be played back from a storage

device, i.e. video storage devices 3a, 3b) ([0034] and [0039]).

Regarding claim 3, Aagaard discloses the synchronously recorded video sequences are

used concurrently for generating the wide image video sequence (a genlock signal may be

provided to each camera 150 so that the resulting stored video will be synchronized across all

of the camera video feeds during playback and a 16:9 aspect ratio high definition camera

with a wide angle lens could be used to capture the target image from a plurality of locations)

([0078] and [0172]).

Regarding claim 5, Aagaard discloses the wide image video sequence is stored on a memory means (the video images of an event of interest from the plurality of cameras will be stored in a suitable storage medium, such as a digital data file captured with a wide angle lens) ([0064] and [0172]).

Regarding claim 14, this claim differs from claim 1 only in that the claim 1 is a method claim whereas claim 14 recites similar features in an apparatus format. Thus the apparatus claim 9 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respected to claim 1 above.

Regarding claims 15 and 16, these claims recite same limitations as claims 2 and 3, respectively. Thus they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 2 and 3 above.

Regarding claim 25, this claim recites same limitations as claim 1. Thus it is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 above.

Regarding claims 26 and 27, these claims recite same limitations as claims 2 and 3, respectively. Thus they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 2 and 3 above.

Regarding claim 36, Aagaard discloses a video recording apparatus (multiple camera video system 1, Fig 1) comprising:

- a microprocessor (pan head computer 154 in the camera control panel 162, Fig 8),
  a memory (video storage devices 3a, 3b, Fig 1) for storing program for generating
  a set of calibration parameters (calibration information/values) related to a device
  (multiple camera video system 1, Fig 1) having at least two video cameras (slave

cameras in unison with the master camera) which are arranged in a predetermined relationship to each other (the location of each of the cameras with respect to each other may be determined using known techniques), said parameters being unique for the at least two cameras and their current location as related to the object being recorded (the basic function of the calibration computers 240 is to calibrate the positioning of each of the remote cameras 150 enabling the master broadcaster 215 to remotely calculate and control the slave cameras in response to a movement in the master camera) ([0036], [0043], [0095], [0107]);

- said memory means (video storage devices 3a, 3b, Fig 1) also storing program for recording of wide image video sequences (for example, a 16:9 aspect ratio high definition camera with a wide angle lens could be used to capture the target image from a plurality of locations) ([0078] and [0172]).;

- read and write memory means (video storage devices 3a, 3b, Fig 1) for storing data relating to recorded video sequences from at least two video cameras (a genlock signal may be provided to each camera 150 so that the resulting stored video will be synchronized across all of the camera video feeds during playback) ([0078]); and

- input means for input of manual input of parameters, input of recorded video sequence (he cam-A computer 228 preferably also includes a keyboard 224, mouse 226, or other input device which may be used locally at the master pan head 220), and output means for output of a wide image video sequence (there may also be a local monitor connected to the cam-A computer 228, and a second

monitor 222 may be connected to the cam-A computer 228 and reside at the

master pan head 220) ([0099]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set
> forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and
> the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the
> invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
> Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aagaard in view of

Alonso (US 6,445,293).

Regarding claim 4, the limitations of claim 1 are taught above, Aagaard does not disclose

the wide image video sequence is transmitted live. However, Alonso discloses the wide

image video sequence is transmitted live (the camera system sets the front camera as output

image and transmits live video out from this camera) (3:56-65, Alonso).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to include the imaging pickup device as taught by Alonso into Aagaard's

device, so as the camera system based on an 8-bit microcontroller and comprises four states

of operation, which are capable to used by the main system to increase system versatility and

can get any advanced feature shown in the main video system (3:41-45, Alonso).

11. Claims 6-10, 17-21, and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Aagaard in view of Chang (US 2004/0085451).

Regarding claim 6, the limitations of claim 1 are taught above, Aagaard discloses

generating a wide image video from recorded video sequences (for example, a 16:9 aspect

ratio high definition camera with a wide angle lens could be used to capture the target image

from a plurality of locations) ([0078] and [0172]). Aagaard does not disclose a detailed

calibration process according to this claim.

However, Chang discloses the generation of calibration parameters (calibration process

102, Fig 3) comprises the following steps:

   a. Start of calibration process (step 110, Fig 3) (at 110, a predesigned calibration

      pattern 50 is displayed in front of planar background 18, i.e. on front planar

      surface 44) ([0024], Chang);

   b. Synchronize the sequences from each camera (step 114 and 118, Fig 3), which

      means that at least a video sequence has to be recorded by all cameras (blocks 112

      and 116 occur simultaneously, and blocks 114 and 118 occur simultaneously or

      near simultaneously) ([0024], Chang);

   c. Compute inter-image projective transformations (step 122, Fig 3) (the

      correspondence mapping and geometric parameters of planar background 18

      determined at 120 are utilized to compute both internal and external calibration

      parameters of first camera 14 and second camera 16) ([0026], Chang);

   d. Use the transformations to refer each image to a common reference frame (step

      120, Fig 3) (at 120, CPU 30 compares the robust features from the first and

      second images to the known characteristics of calibration pattern 50 and performs

      a correspondence mapping and the correspondence mapping entails locating each

captured robust characteristic of calibration pattern 50 in first image 14 and noting

the spatial relationship of the captured robust characteristics) ([0025], Chang);

e. Choose a real or virtual reference camera (step 122, Fig 3) such that certain lines

on the pitch and/or stadium are essentially horizontal and parallel in the image

(the overall spatial relationship of first camera 14, second camera 16, and planar

background 18 is used to determine a first coordinate system with respect to first

camera 14 and a second coordinate system with respect to second camera 16)

([0026], Chang);

f. Select a rectangular region of interest within the image (the correspondence

mapping and geometric parameters of planar background 18, Fig 1). This region

contains e.g. the entire pitch and as much of the stadium as is required or visible

(planar background 18 is determined at 124 based upon the calibration parameters

of each camera) ([0026], Chang); and

g. Record all computed values resulting from the calibration process to be used as

the calibration parameters (once the two coordinate systems are derived, the

calibration process is complete and the first subject image or video is recorded by

first camera 14 and transferred to CPU 30 via first video capture device 32)

([0026] and [0027], Chang).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to include the calibration process as taught by Chang into Aagaard's

device, so as the system capable to generate a synthesized image of the subject from a virtual

view point using the relative positioning of the first camera, the second camera, and a planar

surface for the robust features of calibrated pattern ([0006], Chang).

Regarding claim 7, the limitations of claims 1 and 6 are taught above, Aagaard does not

disclose the steps of finding the lens distortion parameter(s) for each camera and correcting

radial distortion in each image produced are comprised. However, Chang discloses the steps

of finding the lens distortion parameter(s) for each camera, and correcting radial distortion in

each image produced are comprised (adapted to stretch the synthesized video image on the

video display 20 to remove any parallax distortions) ([0018], Chang).

Regarding claim 8, the limitations of claims 1 and 6 are taught above, Chang discloses

the step of selecting non-linear distortion parameters to reduce perspective distortion of the

image is comprised (the internal calibration parameters include but are not limited to the

focal length and lens distortion of each camera) ([0026], Chang).

Regarding claim 9, the limitations of claim 1 are taught above, Chang discloses the step b

(step 114 and 118, Fig 3) is performed manually by identification of corresponding features

in concurrent video images and the coordinates for these corresponding features are input to a

computer means (calibration pattern 50 is a predesigned pattern on a planar surface having

robust features to be identified by CPU 30 and the size and attributes of calibration pattern 50

have been previously entered into and are known by CPU 30; at 112, first camera 14 captures

a first image of calibration pattern 50) ([0024] and Fig 3, Chang).

Regarding claim 10, the limitations of claim 1 are taught above, Chang discloses the step

b (step 114 and 118, Fig 3) is performed automatically by an algorithm for identification of

corresponding features in concurrent video images and the coordinates for these

corresponding features are input to a computer means (the second image is transferred from
second camera 16 to CPU 30 via second video capture device 34. CPU analyzes the second
image for the robust features of calibrated pattern 50) ([0024] and Fig 3, Chang).

Regarding claims 17, 18, 19, 20, and 21, these claims recite same limitations as claims 6,
7, 8, 9, and 10, respectively. Thus they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed
with respect to claims 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 above.

Regarding claims 28, 29, 30, 31, and 32, these claims recite same limitations as claims 6,
7, 8, 9, and 10, respectively. Thus they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed
with respect to claims 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 above.

12. Claims 11, 13, 22, 24, 33, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable
over Aagaard in view of Chang (US 2004/0085451), and further in view of Matsumoto (US
2003/0071906).

Regarding claim 11, the limitations of claim 1 are taught above, Chang discloses the
method according to claim 1 which comprises the following steps:

a. Apply the computed and registered calibration parameters (at 110, a predesigned
    calibration pattern 50 is displayed in front of planar background 18, i.e. on front
    planar surface 44) ([0024] and step 110, Fig 3);

c. Obtain one new image from each camera (at 112, first camera 14 captures a first
    image of calibration pattern 50 and at 116, second camera 16 captures a second
    image of calibration pattern 50) ([0024] and steps 112 and 116, Fig 3);

d. If required, update the parameters needed to transform intensities in one or more
    cameras to eliminate visible seams (correspondence mapping entails locating each

captured robust characteristic of calibration pattern) ([0025] and step 120, Fig 3);

    e. If necessary, adjust the intensities in the images from one or more cameras (a similar correspondence mapping procedure is completed using the second image to determine the geometric parameters of planar background 18 with respect to second camera 16) ([0025] and step 120, Fig 3);

    f. Create the current seamless, wide image from the current images from each camera (map corresponding robust features to determine geometric parameters of planar background, since the calibration pattern 50 is a planar surface located upon planar background 18, the geometric parameters of planar background with respect to first camera 14 are directly computed from the mapped correspondence information) ([0025] and step 120, Fig 3);

    g. Output the image to a display or to a memory means (storage device 37, Fig 1) (after all calibration parameters are determined, the overall spatial relationship of first camera 14, second camera 16, and planar background 18 is determined at 124 based upon the calibration parameters of each camera and the image is to a display or to a memory means) ([0026]); and

    h. End of sequence (the calibration process is complete) ([0024]-[0027] and step 110-124, Fig 3. Chang).

Aagaard and Chang do not disclose a calibration process is repeated until the end of the sequence is reached or return to step b until end of generation of the video sequence. However, Matsumoto disclose a calibration process is repeated until the end of the sequence

is reached (the calibration process is repeated at a predetermined interval until the release

switch (SW1) 126 or the release switch (SW2) 127 is pressed, Figs 7-9) ([0183], Matsumoto)

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to include the calibration process as taught by Chang into Aagaard's

device, so as the system capable to generate a synthesized image of the subject from a virtual

view point using the relative positioning of the first camera, the second camera, and a planar

surface for the robust features of calibrated pattern ([0006], Chang).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to include the calibration process as taught by Matsumoto into Aagaard

and Chang's device, so as the imbalanced amounts and correction amounts calculated in the

respective calibration processes are accumulated and stored in the memory since the camera

is turned on to the time just before the exposure operation starts, and therefore, more accurate

correction data can be obtained ([0183], Matsumoto).

Regarding claim 13, the limitations of claims 1 and 11 are taught above, Chang discloses

the new images from each video camera are read from a memory means (storage device 37,

Fig 1) (after all calibration parameters are determined, the overall spatial relationship of first

camera 14, second camera 16, and planar background 18 is determined at 124 based upon the

calibration parameters of each camera and the image is to a display or to a memory means)

([0026], Chang).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to include the calibration process as taught by Chang into Aagaard's

device, so as the image data (e.g., video images, image shape and color information) is stored in storage device 37 and available for use at a later time ([0032], Chang).

Regarding claims 22 and 24, these claims recite same limitations as claims 11 and 13, respectively. Thus they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 11 and 13 above.

Regarding claims 33 and 35, these claims recite same limitations as claims 11 and 13, respectively. Thus they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 11 and 13 above.

13. Claims 12, 23 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aagaard in view of Chang (US 2004/0085451), and further in view of Alonso (US 6,445,293).

Regarding claim 12, the limitations of claims 1 and 11 are taught above, Aagaard and Chang do not disclose the new images from each camera are read from live sources, each such source comprising a video camera. However, Alonso discloses the new images from each camera are read from live sources, each such source comprising a video camera (the camera system sets the front camera as output image and transmits live video out from this camera) (3:56-65, Alonso).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the imaging pickup device as taught by Alonso into Aagaard and Chang's device, so as the camera system based on an 8-bit microcontroller and comprises four states of operation, which are capable to used by the main system to increase

system versatility and can get any advanced feature shown in the main video system (3:41-45, Alonso).

Regarding claims 23 and 34, these claims recite same limitations as claim 12. Thus they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 12 above.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- Kumar et al. (US 5,963,664) discloses a system for generating three-dimensional mosaics from a plurality of input images representing an imaged scene;

- Edwards et al. (US 7,373,017) discloses a system and method for capturing adjacent images by utilizing a panorama mode;

- Katayama et al. (US 7,098,914) provides an image synthesis method, an image synthesis apparatus, and a storage medium in which a mapping mode is easily set when synthesizing an image; and

- Mancuso et al. (US 6,771,304) discloses a method in a digital camera for image capturing at least two perspective images at a constant focal length from the digital camera.

Inquiries

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

    should be directed to Kent Wang whose telephone number is 571-270-1703. The examiner

    can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 PM (every other Friday off).

    If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

    Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization

    where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-270-8300.

      Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

    Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

    applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

    for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

    about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have

    questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center

    (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

    Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN

    USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tuan V Ho/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622
KW
3 February, 2009